

**TECHNICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF
APPLICATION FOR AIR QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT
FOR EXISTING SOURCE**

PERMITTEE: El Paso Natural Gas Co. DATE: August 6, 1997

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 1492 PERMIT NO.: 1000165

El Paso, TX 79978 NEW SOURCE: N

EQUIPMENT LOCATION: 20 E of Kingman, 1/2 mile N off I-140, Exit 71 RENEWAL: Y

Kingman, Mohave County, AZ 86471 TITLE V SOURCE: Y

PERMIT CLASS: I PORTABLE: N

PERMIT ENGINEER: Sandy Farace

APPLICABLE REGULATION	CONDITION	MEETS CONDITION			SEE RMK NO.	RVWD BY
		YES	NO	N/A		
R18-2-326	A. <u>ADMINISTRATION</u>			X	1	SF1
	1. Have all applicable fees been paid?					
Appendix 1 R18-2-304.E	2. Has a complete application been submitted? (attach completeness checklist)	X				SF1
R18-2-304.G	3. Has additional information necessary to address any requirements which became effective after the application was filed been submitted? (if applicable)			X		SF1
R18-2-307.A	4. Has a copy of the complete application been submitted to the EPA for review (only required if the application is for a Class I permit)?	X				SF1
R18-2-305	6. Confidentiality			X		SF1
	a. If portions of the application were submitted with a notice of confidentiality, has the applicant been notified as to the Director's confidentiality determination?					
	b. If portions of the application have been determined by the Director to be confidential, has a notice of confidentiality been included in the file?			X		SF1
R18-2-101.60 and 61	7. Is the source classified as a major source as per R18-2-101.61 or a major modification as per R18-2-101.60?	X				SF1
R18-2-306.8.e	8. Has all information and records requested by the Director or the Hearing Board been submitted?	X				SF1
R18-2-310, 309, and 327	9. Have all emission inventory questionnaires, excess emission reports, and compliance certifications been submitted?	X				SF1
ARS § 49-402	10. Does the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality have jurisdiction over this source?	X			2	SF1
Articles 7, 9 and 11	B. <u>AIR POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT</u>	X				
	1. Have the parameters of all process equipment which may cause or contribute to air pollution been identified?					SF1

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF APPLICATION FOR AIR QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT

APPLICABLE REGULATION	CONDITION	MEETS CONDITION			SEE RMK NO.	RVWD BY
		YES	NO	N/A		
	2. Have all air releases containing regulated air pollutants (including any hazardous air pollutants) been identified and characterized as to strength, concentration, and type of pollutant?	X				SF1
Articles 7, 9 and 11	3. Has the applicant demonstrated that each emission unit is so designed, controlled, or equipped with such air pollution control equipment that it may be <u>expected</u> to operate without emitting or causing to be emitted air contaminants in violation of A.A.C. Title 18, Chapter 2, Articles 7, 9, and 11? (Attach calculations.)			X	3	SF1
Article 6	4. Has the applicant demonstrated that each non-point emission unit is so designed, controlled or equipped with such air pollution control equipment that it may expect to comply with requirements of Article 6 emissions from existing and new non-point sources?	X			4	SF1
A.R.S. §49-427.C	5. Has the source been constructed according to the prior permit? (if not, the source must first obtain a permit revision before receiving a permit renewal)	X			5	SF1
Articles 7, 9 and 11	6. Has the source demonstrated that proposed positive control techniques can be maintained at full operational capacity? (Attach calculations.)	X			3	SF1
Articles 6, 7 & 9	C. <u>REGULATORY SUMMARY</u>					
	1. Has the applicant supplied sufficient material to demonstrate that emission standards can be met for the following:					
	a. Visible emissions	X				SF1
	b. Particulate emissions	X				SF1
	c. Sulfur dioxide emissions	X				SF1
	d. Total sulfur emissions			X		SF1
	e. Volatile organic compounds	X				SF1
	f. NO _x emissions	X				SF1
	g. Other pollutants _____	X				SF1
Article 11	2. Has the applicant demonstrated the emissions from the facility are such that they will meet hazardous air pollutant standards?			X		SF1
R18-2-312	3. Have any performance tests required by the prior permit been conducted?	X			6	SF1
R18-2-312	4. Has a visible emission test been performed? (if applicable)			X		SF1

**TECHNICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF
APPLICATION FOR AIR QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT**

APPLICABLE REGULATION	CONDITION	MEETS CONDITION			SEE RMK NO.	RVWD BY
		YES	NO	N/A		
R18-2-306	5. Does the permit contain all requirements which became applicable to the source after the prior permit was issued?	X				SF1
R18-2-309.2	6. Does the permit contain a requirement for the submittal of compliance certifications (at least annually)?	X				SF1
R18-2-309.5	7. Does the permit contain a compliance plan which outlines the procedures used to comply with all requirements and specifies the means for demonstrating compliance?	X				SF1
R18-2-309	8. Does the permit contain a compliance schedule to be used to achieve compliance with those items with which the source does not currently comply.			X	7	SF1
R18-2-306.3, 4	9. Does the permit contain sufficient monitoring, reporting and recordkeeping requirements to determine whether or not the source is in compliance at any time?	X				SF1